Microwave Stepped Impedance Filter Design Sheet

Chris Haji-Michael www.sunshadow.co.uk/chris.htm



TThe maths for this can be found at www.acusd.edu/~ekim/e194rfs01/iec19aek.pdf. I have also added some simulation results at the end.

There are three steps to this filter design:

Step 1, Get the filter g-values, this sheet calculated the g values according to the Chebychev polynomial, but these can be obtained in a variety of ways from books or from a program freely available from my web page. You will be pleased to know that these g-values agree with my program!!

Step 2, Calculate Zo and wavelength depending on the type of filter. This MathCAD sheet stops at this point.

Step 3, Calculate length and width. One method is to use Linecalc which is part of ADS. For microstrip designs I have written a MathCAD sheet which is available from my wepage that works well for thin tracks, less well for thicker tracks. Also you can try transcalc.sourceforge.net for a Linecalc equivalent.

Yellow is user input, Green is output

Main user input area:

$L_{ar_db} \coloneqq 0.2$	passband ripple in dB	$\mathbf{\mu}\mathbf{m} \coloneqq 10^{-6} \cdot \mathbf{m}$	$nH := 10^{-9} \cdot henry$
<u>N:= 7</u>	order of the filter		
$f_c := 1 \cdot GHz$	Cutoff frequency.	$Z_{o} := 50 \cdot \mathbf{O}$	

LPF Frequency Response, for Chebychev Polynomials

This section plots the frequency response assuming the Chebychev polynomial values calculated later. The frequency response is for the coupled BPF, the LPF response is later.

$$f_{lp_hp_sweep_wide} := \frac{f_c}{10}, \frac{f_c}{10} + \frac{f_c}{50} ... f_c \cdot 5 \qquad \qquad f_{lp_hp_sweep_narrow} := \frac{f_c}{10}, \frac{f_c}{10} + \frac{f_c}{100} ... f_c \cdot 1.15$$



Calculate the Chebychev (g) Polynomials

$$\mathbf{k} \coloneqq 1..\,\mathbf{N} \qquad \mathbf{\hat{B}} \coloneqq \ln\left(\coth\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{ar_db}}{17.37}\right)\right) \qquad \mathbf{\hat{B}} \coloneqq \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{\hat{B}}}{2\cdot\mathbf{N}}\right) \qquad \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \coloneqq \sin\left[\frac{(2\cdot\mathbf{k}-1)\cdot\mathbf{p}}{2\cdot\mathbf{N}}\right]$$
$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \coloneqq \mathbf{\hat{f}} + \left(\sin\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{N}}\right)\right)^{2} \qquad \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{k}} \coloneqq 0 \qquad \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} \coloneqq 1 \qquad \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{N}+1} \coloneqq 1 \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{0}) \text{ and } \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{N}+1) \\ \text{coupling for odd} \\ \text{representing the} \end{array}$$

g(0) and g(N+1) represent the input/output coupling for odd order filters, these are 1 representing the generator (and equal) lood

resistance



 $Z_{low} \coloneqq 20$ $Z_{high} \coloneqq 120$

The next step is to calculate Zo.

This next section converts the g-values to Zo-values. If you wish to use your own g-values, i.e not from the Chebychev polynomial then this is ok and you need to put them here as shown on the right. User sets Zlow and Zhigh based on what is reasonable for the substrate.

This filter alternates between these impedances

$$g_{100} = 2$$

g =

1.372

1.378 2.276 1.5

2.276
1.378
1.372

$$\operatorname{angle_L_equiv}_{k} \coloneqq \operatorname{asin}\left(\frac{\underset{k}{g_{k}}, \frac{Z_{o}}{O}}{Z_{\operatorname{high}}}\right) \quad \operatorname{angle_C_equiv}_{k} \coloneqq \operatorname{asin}\left[\frac{Z_{\operatorname{low}}}{\underbrace{\left(\frac{Z_{o}}{O}\right)}{g_{k}}}\right]$$

There are a number of points to note:

1. The user needs to choose Zhigh/Zlow depending on the substrate.

2. If the angle exceeds 90 degrees then the ripple and N should be changed.

3. For max repeat frequency then the smaller the maximum angle is better.

4. The filter is designed by switching between the inductance/capacitance values in turn, hence two filters are possible from any given results.

Calculate dimensions

Now we can calculate the length of each section.

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \coloneqq 4.5 \qquad \mathbf{?}_{0} \coloneqq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{8} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}} \qquad \mathbf{?} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{?}_{0}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}}}$$

 $\operatorname{length_L_equiv}_{k} \coloneqq ? \cdot \frac{\operatorname{angle_L_equiv}_{k}}{360 \cdot \operatorname{deg}} \qquad \operatorname{length_C_equiv}_{k} \coloneqq ? \cdot \frac{\operatorname{angle_C_equiv}_{k}}{360 \cdot \operatorname{deg}}$

angle_L_e	quiv _k =	angle_C_equiv _k =	
34.875	· deg	33.293	· deg
35.047		33.455	
71.478		65.543	
38.687		36.874	
71.478		65.543	
35.047		33.455	
34.875		33.293	

 $? = 141.421 \cdot mm$

$length_L_equiv_k =$			length_C_equiv _k =		
13.7	·mm		13.079	· mm	
13.768			13.142		
28.079			25.748		
15.198			14.486		
28.079			25.748		
13.768			13.142		
13.7			13.079		

Simulation Results

On the next page you can see somje simulation results

This algorithm makes two filters, one filter starts with Lequivalent then Cequivalent and the other filter is the other way around.

To test these calculations I have run a simulation on ADS, but with angles and not lengths. I want to see the basic algorithm works, which it does.

As you can see the results are in good agreement. Both the ripple and return loss (S11) agree, but the cutoff frequency, here normalised to 1 is out slightly and I am not sure why this is. Both types of filter agree with each other.

